In 1947, post-World War II (WWII) Europe saw the growing threat of communism and declining economies. This economic and political environment caused President Harry Truman and Secretary of State George Marshall to devise the European Recovery Plan, or ERP. The ERP was an economic stimulus program that injected over $13 billion into the struggling economies of Western Europe. This program, now referred to as the “Marshall Plan,” marked a turning point in American foreign policy. It was a reversal from post-World War I (WWI) isolationism and generated long-lasting relations with other nations.

The Marshall Plan was founded on President Woodrow Wilson’s ideas of multilateralism1 or international cooperation in economic and diplomatic affairs. Economic prosperity keeps peace throughout the world, and a country with a good economy has little incentive to attack other nations.2 Wilson proposed that reducing tariffs would facilitate peace.3 Since multilateralism places all countries on an equal footing in trade (in contrast to bilateralism, which favors one country over others), it encourages prosperity throughout the world. Countries with strong trading relationships are unlikely to wage war against their partners because it would damage the well-being of their citizens.

However, despite Wilson’s efforts, Congress rejected multilateralism because Great Britain, one of the US’s strongest allies, disapproved. To protect its colonial interests, Britain created the Sterling Bloc—or the Commonwealth—which reduced tariffs on British colonies including South Africa, India and Australia.4 Britain benefitted immensely from this arrangement, which would have been jeopardized by the US reducing tariffs on other nations as a result of greater competition and decreased profitability.5 Britain’s pressure caused Wilson’s idea of multilateralism to die in a stack of unsigned bills.

Though multilateralism is a testament to Wilson’s forward thinking, his diplomatic efforts were largely unsuccessful. At the end of WWI, France and Britain wanted to punish Germany for the War. The result was the Treaty of Versailles, which stunted economic growth, leaving the Central Powers in a
deep depression without much hope for recovery and furious with the US. 6 This ultimately led to the rise of the Nazi Party. 7 Hyperinflation caused by the reparation terms destroyed the German middle class. 8 Germans became angry and sought vengeance upon the Allied Powers. They were easily swayed by the fascist rhetoric of Hitler and the Nazi party. 9 To avoid the pitfalls of the Treaty of Versailles and focus on promoting (rather than restricting) economic growth, Truman and Marshall implemented the ERP. 10

When drafting the Marshall Plan, the US considered early ideas of multilateralism. They recognized the failures of Treaty of Versailles as a primary reason for German nationalism and cause of WWII. Thus, they devised a plan to help all European countries—including Germany, the Soviet Union and the Eastern Bloc. Unfortunately, the Soviets rejected the Plan, thereby deepening the divide between East and West, and igniting the sparks for the Cold War.

Economic considerations at home also influenced implementation of the Marshall Plan. The 1929 Stock Market Crash ended ten years of prosperity in the US, and the unemployment rate skyrocketed. 11 The Crash subsequently decreased production of American goods, resulting in job-loss and a reduction in wages. 12 The Depression was worsened by high tariffs implemented in the Smoot-Hawley Act of 1930, which raised import taxes to 60%. 13 Though intended to protect American farmers from international competition, these tariffs caused investors to lose confidence in the American system and highlighted a return to isolationism. 14

The Great Depression left Americans acutely aware of the psychological and political effects of poverty. Though the Marshall Plan is seen as an act of compassion or sympathy for countries struggling after WWII, it is likely that fear of slipping into another depression at home caused the US to invest in diplomacy and pivot away from another economic calamity. 15

Humanitarian concerns for war-torn Europe also factored into the adoption of the Marshall Plan. 16 Dilapidated conditions existed nearly everywhere. 17 [See Appendices B, C, & D] European infrastructure was so badly damaged that it would have been extremely difficult to recover without foreign aid. 18 The Marshall Plan offered this assistance.

There were other less altruistic motives for the Marshall Plan as well. Many argue that the Plan was primarily adopted as an anti-communist propaganda scheme. 19 After WWI, fear of the spread of communism dominated American politics. 20 [See also Appendix E] The Bolshevik Revolution of 1917 caused Americans to fear the spread of communism across the Atlantic, leading to near-hysteria and the first “Red Scare.” 21 Then, the Hatch Act of 1939 and Public Law 135 authorized the government to discriminate in hiring and investigate government employees for suspicion of communism. 22 The Soviet Union’s rapid military expansion after WWII frightened the US. The Marshall Plan’s commitment to stop the spread of communism and promote American-style democracy aligned nicely with the anti-communist message at home. 23

The Marshall Plan passed almost unanimously in Congress, and Truman signed it into law on April 4th, 1947. The Plan provided nearly $13 billion to struggling European countries to assist them in recovering from the wreckage of WWII. 24 Initially, the aid came in form of food, fuel and machinery. 25 Later, the US made
cash investments in European holdings. Ultimately, these economic contributions resulted in strengthened economic conditions throughout Europe.

Contemporaneously, US foreign policy was divided between economic reconstruction in Western Europe and containment of Soviet expansion. After the war, both the USSR and the US scrambled for German weaponry and scientists. The US had an advantage in this race, however, because prior to the end of the war, Hitler had moved the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute für Physik (which housed the German nuclear program) to Hechingen, near the Black Forest. Eventually this area became a French occupation zone, and the Allies were able to take numerous German scientists, including Nobel Prize winner Max von Laue, into custody at the end of the War. During the Marshall era, the USSR developed its own nuclear weapon and tested a nuclear bomb in 1949. This early competition for scientists and technology was the beginning of the nuclear arms race which defined US-Soviet politics for the next four decades.

While the Marshall Plan sought to strengthen democracy in Western Europe, the US also engaged in another intervention program known as the “Truman Doctrine” to resist uprisings in Greece and Turkey. In contrast to the Marshall Plan, the Truman Doctrine authorized military assistance in addition to financial aid, because in Greece (and to a lesser extent in Turkey) the communist movements had become violent and sparked civil war. The US believed that the Middle East could topple under dictatorship if it did not act. Undersecretary of State Dean Acheson explained the “Domino Theory,” which declared that if Greece and Turkey fell to communism, it would likely spread as far south as Iran, and east to India. This, he implored, was too dangerous a threat to democracy to ignore.

Finally, the fact that Truman advocated in favor of the Marshall Plan on the eve of his bid for reelection is also significant. Showing his true character and motives, Truman put politics aside and engaged in this controversial course of action. Truman’s commitment to world peace and promoting democracy allowed for the development of the Marshall Plan, which “brought a powerful new impetus to the campaign for European unity.” His legacy includes the rebuilding of Western Europe, creation of the United Nations, and establishment of the US as a true superpower.

Still, not everyone agreed with the Marshall Plan. Conservatives had shut down early foreign aid programs during Wilson’s presidency, and voiced similar opposition to the Marshall Plan. Perhaps the loudest opponent was Republican Senator Robert Taft of Ohio. He denounced the Plan in a speech confirming his candidacy for President in 1947. He called the Plan “unsound policies” and “an intolerable tax burden.” Isolationists like Taft did not feel it was in their best interests to “intrude” upon other nations, nor did they feel any obligation to assist them.

Businessmen, too, feared the Marshall Plan. Many felt unsure whether reconstructing European economies and encouraging foreign competition was in the US’ best interests. Many congressmen went pale at the idea of using taxpayer money to send food and other commodities to Europe when the US was just beginning to see positive economic conditions at home. Despite this
initial controversy, however, most American opposition was quelled due to the persuasion of Marshall and Truman.\textsuperscript{46}

Not surprisingly, the Soviets also opposed to the Marshall Plan.\textsuperscript{47} Stalin believed it was an anti-communist measure to promote democracy and a free-market economy, and he aimed to block it.\textsuperscript{48} [See Appendix A] In 1947, the Allied powers tried to convince Soviet delegates of the necessity of a European recovery program. However, after several days of deliberation, Soviet Foreign Minister Vyacheslav Molotov walked out of the negotiations, declaring the Plan “totally unsatisfactory.”\textsuperscript{49} Stalin called the Marshall Plan “a ploy by Truman…to infiltrate European countries.”\textsuperscript{50} Much of Stalin’s criticism can be attributed to fear.\textsuperscript{51} He was concerned that US influence in Western Europe would erode the stability of the Eastern Bloc.\textsuperscript{52} Furthermore, he feared the Marshall Plan’s mandate for free and democratic elections.\textsuperscript{53} Stalin knew that given free and open elections, he and his Communist compatriots would likely be voted out of office. This was a political risk he was unwilling to take, and as a result, he rejected the Plan in its entirety.\textsuperscript{54}

Implementation of the Marshall Plan had significant immediate and long-term impacts both in Europe and the US, including economic, political and socio-cultural effects. First, the economic impacts of the Plan cannot be denied. When Congress approved the Marshall Plan’s injection of nearly $13 billion into Western European nations, it did so to “promote world peace and the general welfare, national interest, and foreign policy of the United States through economic, financial and other measures necessary…”\textsuperscript{55} Impoverished European nations received aid in the form of food, goods and money.\textsuperscript{56} For the US, the immediate economic impact was a surge in exports to Europe and a boost in domestic production and profits.\textsuperscript{57} Over the next four years, European industry began to bounce back, and Europe once again began to participate in the international economic markets.\textsuperscript{58} Not only were jobs restored, but quality of life increased.\textsuperscript{59} Monetary investments from the Marshall Plan gave Europe the resources to make these desperately needed changes.\textsuperscript{60}

The longer-term economic effects of the Marshall Plan were more subtle for Europe. Part of the economic stimulus package included long-term loans to Western European nations.\textsuperscript{61} By creating a long-term reliance on American funds, the Marshall Plan essentially “legitimized a particular economic model of production and consumption…”\textsuperscript{62} This ultimately fostered an American style free-market economy and the “Americanization” of Western Europe.\textsuperscript{63}

Secondly, the Marshall Plan had strong political impacts as well. It helped to restore democracy throughout Western Europe by rebuilding democratic governments based on rule of law, especially in France and Western Germany.\textsuperscript{64} By doing so, it helped restore the balance of power in Europe. After WWII, Western Europe stood on the brink of Communism. The US feared that Communism would result in disaster and lead to devastating nuclear war with the Soviets. As the Marshall Plan revived Europe, American influence, capitalism and democracy prevailed.

While the Marshall Plan is credited rebuilding Western Europe after WWII, it has also been criticized for contributing to the beginning of the Cold War. The monetary assistance given, particularly to West Germany, “set a course…a capitalist European economic revival that confirmed the division of Europe.”\textsuperscript{65}
This division marks the most significant long-term political effect of the Plan by separating Europe into “East” and “West” for the next four decades.66

The economic and political impacts of the Marshall Plan also helped jumpstart the creation of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO).67 It did so by strengthening alliances between the US and European countries, and by making nations comfortable with accepting foreign aid while promoting their economies. This provided autonomy which allowed European nations to contribute to their own security and remain strong. NATO was that next step.68

Finally, the Marshall Plan had strong social and cultural impacts as well. It brought the world together culturally and initiated international cooperation.69 Furthermore, the efforts of the Marshall Plan in Germany showed forgiveness towards the US’ former enemies.70 It promoted understanding and cooperation based upon common goals and aspirations.71 The Plan can be credited with the construction of socio-political ties that became known as “The West,” a term that was eventually used to brand any capitalist and pro-democratic movement.72 Western Europe and the US recognized a common enemy in the Soviet Union, which promoted closer ties. Eventually, this concept of “the West” eroded the lines between Western European countries and set the stage for the creation of the modern European Union.73

The social and cultural impacts of the Marshall Plan could be felt in the US as well as Europe. Prior to the Plan, many Americans were skeptical of foreigners and practiced isolationism in their own lives. By strengthening political alliances and encouraging trade with Western Europe, the Marshall Plan helped decrease xenophobia in America. Travel to Europe increased, and people became more open to new ideas and cultures.74

As described above, the Marshall Plan was a turning point in history. It was a first step toward a more globally connected world. The largest charitable contribution in American history,75 the Plan created new and strengthened allies, and showed Germany our compassion and willingness to forgive. The final judgment of the Marshall Plan, when viewed with the benefit of hindsight, can be seen by comparing countries that participated in the program with those that did not. Western Europe, like the US, thrived under democracy and a free market economy, while Eastern Europe required its population to live behind walls and fences, without personal freedom or hope for the future. Fortunately, the 1990s saw an era of change for this region, and now democratic elections and free-market economies are the standard throughout Europe. Needless to say, the Marshall Plan marked a turning point in a direction toward cooperation, justice, understanding, and democracy.
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This Cartoon illustrates Stalin attempting to block the Marshall Plan.

“Can He Block It?” Edwin Marcus, ca. 1947.
Appendix B

*These pictures show a Belgian factory before and after the Marshall Plan. The Plan provided funds to rebuild industry throughout Europe.*

Appendix C

"Greek orphans before the Marshall Plan. Poverty was rampant in war-ravaged Europe."

Appendix D

*Starving children in Europe before the Marshall Plan. Photos such as this sparked sympathy in the American people and gave Truman leverage to pass his Plan.*

Appendix E

This comic demonstrates the fear Americans had during the first Red Scare.
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