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IN THE BIBLE, the Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse are said to usher in the end of the world. That is why, in 1964, Judge Ben Cameron gave four of his fellow judges on the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit the derisive nickname “the Fifth Circuit Four”—because they were ending the segregationist world of the Deep South.2

The conventional view of the civil rights struggle is that the Southern white power structure consistently opposed integration.3 While largely true, one of the most powerful institutions in the South, the Fifth Circuit, helped to break civil rights barriers by enforcing the Supreme Court’s decision in Brown v. Board of Education, something that other Southern courts were reluctant to do.4 Despite personal and professional backlash, Judges John Minor Wisdom, Elbert Tuttle, Richard Rives, and John Brown played a significant but often overlooked role in integrating the South.5

Background on the Fifth Circuit

The federal court system, in which judges are appointed for life, consists of three levels.6 At the bottom are the district courts, where cases are originally heard by a single trial judge. At the top is the Supreme Court. However, since Supreme Court review is discretionary, it generally takes few cases.7 Thus, there is a crucial set of appellate courts in the middle, called the “circuit courts,” where appeals from the district level are heard by randomly-selected panels of three judges. During the civil rights era, there were eleven circuits, each covering a unique geographical jurisdiction.8 The Fifth Circuit stretched from Texas to Florida—most of the Deep South.9
The Fifth Circuit Four were members of the nine-judge Fifth Circuit during this period. Each had unique life experiences that catalyzed their progressive racial stances. John Minor Wisdom was born into Southern aristocracy as the son of a New Orleans cotton broker. Often called the “scholar” of the Fifth Circuit, Wisdom received his judicial appointment from President Dwight Eisenhower for boldly supporting him in the 1952 Republican National Convention, an almost unthinkable act in the heavily Democratic South.

The “leader” of the Fifth Circuit, Elbert Tuttle, was also an Eisenhower appointee and a staunch Republican, believing that the Southern Democratic party was kept small to maintain a hold on power. Having grown up in Hawaii, Tuttle was used to working and socializing with minorities, a unique perspective in the South.

The only Democrat of the Four and a devout Baptist, Alabamian Richard Rives impressively passed the bar exam at age nineteen. Rives’ racial attitudes were largely influenced by his son, who served in the Pacific Theatre of World War II and told his father of the valiance with which African American soldiers fought. When Rives’ son died in a car accident, Rives decided to accept any federal judicial appointment so he could honor his son’s legacy and help desegregate the South.

The final Eisenhower appointee of the Four, John Brown, was born in Nebraska and attended law school in Michigan. Brown did not grow up around overt racism, as there was only one African American in his hometown. When Brown moved to Houston to specialize in admiralty law, he was disgusted when trial spectators would gasp when he formally addressed African American witnesses as “Mister.” Throughout Brown’s judicial career, he went out of his way to hire Jewish law clerks, as many Jews were then excluded from legal jobs.

However, the Fifth Circuit was not uniformly racially progressive. Judge Ben Cameron, a Mississippi native, was the Circuit’s staunchest defender of segregation. Cameron justified his actions based on his belief that integration did not reflect the will of white Southerners. Until his death in 1964, Cameron spearheaded the resistance against the Four. Indeed, he gave them their nickname, a reference to the Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse.

The Fifth Circuit’s Role in Enforcing Brown in the Deep South

Beginning in part with Plessy v. Ferguson, the 1896 Supreme Court decision that established the infamous “separate but equal” doctrine, African Americans confronted a precarious legal situation. Although the Fourteenth Amendment guaranteed them equal status, the law still deprived
African Americans of basic freedoms, such as the right to vote and attend many schools. However, in 1954 the Supreme Court ended semi-legalized segregation by overturning *Plessy* in *Brown v. Board of Education*.  

The main difficulty with *Brown* was that it did not establish a plan for desegregation, instead leaving it up to the lower courts. Furthermore, the Supreme Court heard very few civil-rights cases in the fifteen years after *Brown*. This allowed room for significant resistance from some segregationist judges. One famous example is *Briggs v. Elliot*, from the Fourth Circuit (which encompassed the Virginias and the Carolinas). In 1955, Harry Briggs sued his school district for not providing adequate bus transportation to majority-black schools. Even though this was blatantly unequal, *Briggs* held that the district acted legally, taking a very minimalistic view of *Brown* when it concluded that “[The] Constitution… does not require integration. It merely forbids discrimination.”

The Fifth Circuit Four had an opposite view of what *Brown* required. Rather than merely challenging *de jure*, or legal, segregation, the Four also helped to break *de facto*, or informal, barriers to African Americans. This distinct approach was first epitomized by the Fifth Circuit in the small town of Mansfield, Texas.

Although African Americans represented a sizeable portion of Mansfield’s population, the segregated Mansfield Independent School District did not offer an African American high school. In a knee-jerk reaction after *Brown*, Mansfield ISD purchased a bus to take African-American students to a segregated high school in Fort Worth. However, the forty-mile round trip proved arduous for the students, so on October 15, 1956, three African American parents filed suit in federal court.

The case was first dismissed by a segregationist district court judge, but his decision was reversed by a Fifth Circuit panel including Judges Rives and Brown. The Fifth Circuit held that there were no administrative issues to excuse the delay in proper integration of Mansfield ISD, rather “there was only a difficulty arising out of the local climate of opinion.” The Fifth Circuit then ordered that African American students be admitted to Mansfield High School.

On the eve of registration, masked white mobs rioted through downtown Mansfield, with chants of “a dead n***er is the best n***er” piercing through the Texas air. Rioters then hoisted a racist African American effigy on to the façade of Mansfield High School. In response, Governor Allan Shivers deployed the Texas Rangers, although not with noble intentions. He expressed publicly: “It is not my intention to permit the use of state officers or troops to shoot down or intimidate Texas citizens who are making orderly protest against a situation instigated and agitated by the NAACP…Personally I hope that the U.S. Supreme Court will be
given an opportunity to view the effects of its desegregation decision on a typical law-abiding Texas community.”

Intimidated by the mobs and the Texas Rangers, no African American pupils registered, and the parents withdrew their lawsuit. Mansfield then became a rallying cry for the school-segregation movement, with Arkansas Governor Orval Faubus citing it as an inspiration for his opposition in 1957 to the Little Rock Nine.

Although Mansfield was not a resounding success for the Fifth Circuit, the Four did not give up their quest for hastening racial integration. Soon after, the Four tackled perhaps one of the most iconic civil rights cases of the 1960s, *Meredith v. Fair*, decided by Judges Rives, Tuttle, and Wisdom.

As with most Southern universities, the University of Mississippi (also known as Ole Miss) had been whites-only since its founding in 1848. To maintain its all-white identity despite *Brown*, Ole Miss adopted an ostensibly neutral alumni-recommendation requirement for applicants. Considering that Ole Miss had always been segregated, however, few African Americans knew any alumni to recommend them.

In 1962, African American James Meredith was denied admission to Ole Miss because he lacked a recommendation. Meredith sued, but lost in the trial court. On appeal, Judge Wisdom, in what has become a classic judicial opinion, called the recommendation requirement “a patently discriminatory device.” The Fifth Circuit sent the case back to the district court to give Ole Miss another opportunity to prove that a recommendation was necessary. After resistance from the state of Mississippi during a retrial, the Fifth Circuit imposed an injunction, and Meredith was cleared to enroll at Ole Miss.

But the Fifth Circuit’s work was not done. On the night of Meredith’s entrance, riots erupted at Ole Miss with Mississippi Governor Ross Barnett at the helm. When Meredith attempted to enter the registrar’s office, accompanied by Federal Marshals and the National Guard by order of the Fifth Circuit, Barnett blocked Meredith by holding himself against the door. Threatened with arrest, Barnett backed down and returned to leading the protests outside.

The Fifth Circuit then held Barnett in criminal contempt for defying the court order that Meredith could register. Barnett appealed to the Supreme Court, arguing that only a jury could find him guilty of contempt—knowing that a white Mississippi jury would acquit him. The Supreme Court upheld the Fifth Circuit, and Barnett was fined $5,000.

In 1963, the Fifth Circuit Four similarly helped to strike down barriers, this time as to voting, in *United States v. Louisiana*. In 1960, Louisiana amended its constitution to add a “race-neutral” provision requiring voter-registration applicants to explain the Constitution. Registrars were then
trained to systematically decrease the probability of African Americans successfully registering by giving them more difficult passages to interpret.\textsuperscript{55}

The U.S. Justice Department sued Louisiana, asserting a breach of the 1960 Civil Rights Act. Before the case went to trial, Louisiana created a new test, which appeared to be fair because it included questions randomly drawn for each registrant.\textsuperscript{56} Since the mostly white electorate who had successfully registered before the implementation of either test was exempt from re-registering, the Fifth Circuit struck down both tests for perpetuating all-white elections. In what had been described as the best-written opinion outside of the Supreme Court,\textsuperscript{57} Judge Wisdom attacked the barriers facing African Americans: “A wall stands in Louisiana between the registered voters and the unregistered, Negro voters…This wall, built to bar Negros from access to the franchise, must come down.”\textsuperscript{58} The head of the Justice Department’s Civil Rights Division, John Doar, remembered later civil-rights lawyers constantly referring to “the wall” when discussing voting rights, a testament to Wisdom’s legacy.\textsuperscript{59}

Using the law as its tool of change, the Fifth Circuit radically reshaped the Deep South in these and dozens of other civil-rights cases. As former U.S. Attorney General Nicholas Katzenbach concluded, “If you hadn’t had those judges on the Fifth Circuit…you would have much more in the way of demonstrations, violence, repression, revolution—that may be too strong a word, but it was moving in that direction.”\textsuperscript{60}

**Backlash Against the Four**

By pushing to desegregate the South, the Fifth Circuit Four made many enemies in their communities. Tuttle received angry calls so frequently and so late at night that he had to disconnect his phone line.\textsuperscript{61} Rives’ son’s grave was desecrated by the Ku Klux Klan,\textsuperscript{62} and Wisdom’s dogs were poisoned.\textsuperscript{63} In 1969, when there was a vacancy on the Supreme Court, Wisdom, as a Republican, was a strong contender. However, John Mitchell, President Richard Nixon’s Attorney General, rejected him as a “damned left-winger.”\textsuperscript{64}

The largest threat against the Four, however, originated from within the Fifth Circuit. Judge Cameron, exasperated by his colleagues’ racial liberalism, authored a scathing dissent in *Armstrong v. Board of Education of City of Birmingham* that attempted to discredit the Fifth Circuit by arguing it was stacking civil-rights cases with the Four.\textsuperscript{65} To corroborate his assertion, Cameron cited statistics, which would later appear on the front page of *The New York Times*, showing that some combination of the Four sat on 22 of 25 race cases between 1961 and 1962.\textsuperscript{66} Although these statistics were suspect because the Four sat on almost the same percentage of non-race cases, they soon led to a Senate investigation.\textsuperscript{67}
Mississippi Senator James Eastland, a friend of Cameron’s and head of the Senate Judiciary Committee, sent an investigator to the headquarters of the Fifth Circuit in New Orleans to find grounds for impeachment of the Four, the only way to remove lifetime-appointed judges. The investigator not only searched for possible political wrongdoing, but also for incriminating information about the Four’s personal lives. After finding nothing, the investigator returned disappointed to Washington.

Another chance for Senator Eastland and Judge Cameron to stifle the Four would soon arise. Strained by an excess of admiralty and civil-rights cases, in 1963 the Fifth Circuit requested the Senate Judiciary Committee to appoint several new judges. Senator Eastland used this opportunity to counter-propose a new plan, nicknamed the “Eastland Plan.”

Eastland advanced the idea that the Circuit should instead be split in the middle between Mississippi and Alabama, separating Judges Brown and Wisdom from Rives and Tuttle and thus severely limiting their power as a voting bloc. The Eastland Plan failed when Judge Cameron, on his death bed in Alabama, called Senator Eastland to propose adoption of the original Fifth Circuit expansion proposal, in exchange for the Circuit’s method of case assignment becoming more transparent.

The Four eventually had the last laugh. Fearing the two-hundred thousand African Americans who had ultimately gained voting rights in Mississippi, Eastland chose not to seek reelection in 1978. Eastland later conceded the Four’s importance when he recalled a conversation he had with former Attorney General Ramsey Clark: “Clark told me that the Fifth Circuit had done something that the Supreme Court couldn’t do—that they brought racial integration to the deep South a generation sooner that the Supreme Court could have done it.”

### Conclusion

Considering the Fifth Circuit Four’s role in the civil rights movement, it is surprising that they are largely unknown today, even by lawyers. Perhaps this contributes to the widely held misconception that the Southern white power structure uniformly opposed desegregation when, in fact, four powerful judges played a critical legal role as allies to African Americans in breaking barriers in the South.

Besides the moral integrity of the Four, there was an important second factor that enabled them to be truly colorblind in their decisions. Article Three of the Constitution stipulates that federal judges are appointed for life. Without fear of being voted out of office for breaking barriers instead of erecting them, the Four were able to enforce important Supreme Court decisions and laws. There is a movement in the U.S. to have all
judicial positions elected rather than appointed. While the arguments of this movement may be attractive, the story of the Fifth Circuit Four exemplifies the foresight of the Founders in insulating federal judges from political forces.
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68. Appendix I


This is a map of the current U.S. Circuit Court boundaries. The Fifth Circuit once included all the states in the current Fifth and Eleventh Circuits, but was split along the lines proposed in 1982 for administrative reasons.

Appendix B

Judge John Minor Wisdom, the “scholar” of the Four, circa the 1970s.

Appendix C

Judge Elbert Tuttle, the “Leader” of the Four, sitting in his chambers in the 1960s.

Appendix D

Alabamian Judge Richard Rives of the Four from the 1960s.

Appendix E

Texan Judge John Brown of the Four in his chambers in the 1980s.

Appendix F

This is an image from the 1956 Mansfield Riots of the African American effigy hung from the façade of Mansfield High School.

Appendix G

Mississippi Governor Ross Barnett at an Ole Miss football game shortly before he physically barred James Meredith from registering.

Appendix H

James Meredith (center), accompanied by his security detail from the U.S. Marshalls and National Guard, at the University of Mississippi in 1963.

Appendix I

Senator James Eastland, the head of the Senate Judiciary Committee who led the investigation into the Four.

The Wednesday, July 31, 1963 edition of *The New York Times* in which Judge Cameron’s misleading statistics were published. The article in question can be seen in the middle of the page, entitled “Feud Over Racial Cases Flares In U.S. Appeals Court in South.”
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